

LARGE DEVIATIONS AND ENTROPY FOR DETERMINANTAL POINT PROCESSES ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

ROBERT J. BERMAN

ABSTRACT. We study determinantal point processes on a compact complex manifold X associated to an Hermitian metric on a line bundle over X and a probability measure on X . When X is the complex projective line this setup contains the extensively studied (Hermitian, unitary and normal) random matrix ensembles. In general, the ensemble may be realized as the mathematical model of a quantum fermion gas on X in an exterior magnetic field. It is shown that in the many particle limit when L is replaced by a large tensor power (corresponding to the large rank limit in random matrix theory), the defining random measures describing the particle locations converge exponentially towards the corresponding equilibrium measure, defined in terms of the Monge-Ampere operator of complex pluripotential theory. More precisely, it is shown that the corresponding sequence of laws admits a large deviation principle with a good rate functional, whose unique minimum is the equilibrium measure. The entropy term in the rate functional turns out to be closely related to a well-known functional in Kähler-Einstein geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a sequel to [8] where determinantal point processes on complex manifolds were studied and also conjectured to satisfy a large deviation principle. This conjecture will be confirmed in the present paper.

The setup is as follows (see [8] for the precise notation and a more complete account, including motivation and examples). Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex manifold X of dimension n . Given a weighted measure (ν, ϕ) consisting of a probability measure ν supported on a closed subset E of X and a continuous weight ϕ on L , where $e^{-\phi}$ is the local expression for an Hermitian metric on L , one obtains a probability measure γ on the N -fold product E^N , where N is the dimension of the space $H^0(X, L)$ of global holomorphic sections of L , in the following way. Fix a base $S = (s_i)$ in $H^0(X, L)$ and let

$$(1.1) \quad \det(S)(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} (s_i(x_j))_{i, j}$$

be the corresponding element in the top exterior power of $H^0(X, L)$, which may be identified with a holomorphic section of the pulled-back

line bundle $L^{\boxtimes N}$ over X^N . Then

$$(1.2) \quad d\gamma := |\det S|_\phi^2(x_1, \dots, x_N) d\nu^N / \mathcal{Z}[\phi]$$

where $\mathcal{Z}[\phi]$ is the normalizing constant and $|\det S|_\phi^2$ denotes the squared point-wise norm, which may be suggestively written as

$$|\det S|_\phi^2(x_1, \dots, x_N) := |\det S|^2(x_1, \dots, x_N) e^{-(\phi(x_1) + \dots + \phi(x_N))}.$$

Note that the probability measure $d\gamma$ is independent of the choice of base S , while the normalization factor $\mathcal{Z}[\phi]$ does depend on S [8]. When X is the complex projective line this setup contains the extensively studied (Hermitian, unitary and normal) random matrix ensembles. In general, the ensemble may be realized as an ensemble of a maximal number of non-interacting fermions (spinors) on X subject to an exterior magnetic field (see [8] for a review of this fact and references).

We will be concerned with the large k asymptotics of the ensemble $(X^{N_k}, \gamma_{k\phi})$, when L is replaced by its k th tensor power, written as kL in additive notation, equipped with the induced weight $k\phi$ (the explicit dependence of $\gamma_{k\phi}$ and related objects on the integration measure ν will be suppressed, to simplify the notation). We will always assume that L is *big*, i.e. that

$$N_k := \dim H^0(X, kL) = Vk^n + o(k^n), \quad V > 0,$$

where the constant V is, by definition, the *volume* of L .

Using terminology from statistical mechanics, the ensemble $(E^{N_k}, \gamma_{k\phi})$ may also be realized as a *Boltzmann-Gibbs ensemble* of configurations of N_k particles. Indeed, fix a reference weighted measure (ν_0, ϕ_0) on X and take the base S to be orthonormal w.r.t the corresponding Hermitian product on $H^0(X, kL)$. Define the energy function or *Hamiltonian* $H_{k\phi}$ on the configuration space X^{N_k} by

$$H_{k\phi}((x_1, \dots, x_N) := -k^{-(n+1)} \log(|\det S(x_1, \dots, x_N)|^2 + k^{-n} \phi(x_1) + \dots + \phi(x_N)),$$

Then

$$d\gamma_{k\phi} = e^{-k^{-(n+1)} H_{k\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})} d\nu^N$$

is the *Boltzmann-Gibbs measure* on E^{N_k} induced by the Hamiltonian $H_{k\phi}$ at temperature $T = 1/k^{n+1}$. Now $\mathcal{Z}[k\phi]$ is identified with the corresponding *partition function* and the large k limit as a joint many particles and low-temperature limit. The corresponding *k th free energy* is, as usual, defined as $\mathcal{F}[k\phi] = -\log \mathcal{Z}[k\phi]$ and under suitable assumptions one of the main result in [9] may be formulated as saying that the (limiting) free energy $\mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi]$ exists

$$(1.3) \quad k^{-(n+1)} \mathcal{F}[k\phi] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi]$$

where the functional $\mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi]$ is generalization of a well-known energy functional in Kähler-Einstein geometry. The random point process on E is determined by the following random variable (some times called the *empirical measure*) on E^N with values in the space $\mathcal{P}(E)$ of all probability measures supported on E :

$$(1.4) \quad j_N : E^N \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$$

It was shown very recently in [9, 8] that, if the measure ν has the Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t the weighted set (E, ϕ) in X , then this random variable converges in probability, when k tends to infinity, to the constant random variable $\mu_{(\phi, E)}$; the *equilibrium measure* of the weighted set (see section 2) in terms of complex pluripotential theory.

In this note a much stronger convergence will be obtained, which shows that the random measures converge *exponentially* towards the equilibrium measure $\mu_{(\phi, E)}$, for large k , with a specific rate. More precisely, the next theorem formulated in terms of the laws $(j_{N_k})_* \gamma_{k\phi}$ on $\mathcal{P}(E)$ of the sequence of random measures 1.4 holds:

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be a big line bundle equipped with a continuous weight ϕ . Assume that the measure ν has the strong Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t the non-pluripolar set E in X . Then the sequence $(j_N)_* \gamma_{k\phi}$ of probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(E)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with a good rate functional $I_{(E, \phi)}$ and speed k^{n+1} . Moreover, the rate functional $I_{(E, \phi)}$ has a unique minimizer on $\mathcal{P}(E)$; the equilibrium measure $\mu_{(E, \phi)}$.*

It should be pointed out that the theorem applies to any “reasonable” measure ν on X . For example, ν may be taken to be $1_E \omega_n$ where E is a domain in X with, say smooth, boundary or a totally real submanifold in X [11]. The precise definition of the notation in the theorem above will be given below. For now let us just point out that the conclusion of the theorem essentially means that

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{E^{N_k} \cap (j_{N_k})^{-1} \mathcal{F}} e^{-k^{(n+1)} H_{k\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})} d\nu^N \sim e^{-k^{n+1} \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} J_\phi[\mu]}$$

when k tends to infinity, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a given Borel set in $\mathcal{P}(E)$. Note that we have removed the normalizing constant $\mathcal{Z}[k\phi]$ so that the rate functional $I_{(E, \phi)}$ appearing in the theorem differs from J_ϕ by an additive constant (compare formula 1.3). The asymptotics 1.5 can be thought of as a smeared out version of the statement that, if $j_k(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k}) \rightarrow \mu$ then

$$H_{k\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k}) \rightarrow J_\phi[\mu],$$

the point being that the latter statement is in general not true; for example if two of the points x_i coincide, then the left hand side is infinite.

The functional $J_\phi[\mu]$ may be expressed in terms of the *entropy* $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ of the measure μ , where $\mathcal{S}$ is defined as the Legendre transform of the free energy $\mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi]$ in formula 1.3:

$$(1.6) \quad J_\phi[\mu] = -\mathcal{S}[\mu] + \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) d\mu,$$

which, in view of formula 1.5, can be thought of as the “total energy” of the measure μ (which only depends on the reference weight ϕ_0 up to an additive constant). In fact, when X is the projective line and μ is compactly supported in the affine piece $\mathbb{C}$ the functional $J_\phi[\mu]$ coincides precisely with the *weighted logarithmic energy of μ* , which is the subject of the book [32] (for a certain choice of reference weight ϕ_0 ; compare section 2.1).

Somewhat more concretely $J_\phi[\mu]$ may in general be expressed as an integral over X of an explicit expression involving the second derivatives of a “potential” ψ_μ of the measure μ . In the case when L is ample and μ is a smooth volume form the potential ψ_μ may be defined (up to an additive constant), thanks to Yau’s celebrated theorem, as a smooth solution of the Monge-Ampere equation on X :

$$(dd^c \psi_\mu)^n / n! = \mu,$$

where $dd^c \psi_\mu$ is the normalized curvature form of the metric $e^{-\psi_\mu}$ on L , representing the first Chern class of L in real cohomology. Moreover, when X is the complex projective space, which is a compactification of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and L is hyperplane line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$, the theorem also applies (with essentially the same proof) to a variant of the setting above, where the weight function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, representing the weight ϕ on $\mathcal{O}(1)$, has super logarithmic growth at infinity [8].

As a standard consequence of the previous theorem we obtain the following corollary about linear statistics:

Corollary 1.2. *Let u be a continuous function on X . Under the assumptions of the previous theorem the probability of the following subset of E^{N_k} :*

$$(1.7) \quad \left\{ \left| k^{-n}(u(x_1) + \dots + u(x_{N_k})) - \int_X \mu_{(E,\phi)} u \right| > \epsilon \right\}$$

is $e^{-k^{(n+1)}/C_\epsilon}$ for some positive constant C_ϵ .

The corollary is an immediate consequence of the previous theorem applied to a closed set $\mathcal{F}$ obtained as the complement of a small neighbourhood in $\mathcal{P}(E)$ of the equilibrium measure $\mu_{(E,\phi)}$.

Before turning to the precise statement and proof of the previous theorem it may be illuminating to compare the general case with the simplest case when $n = 1$ and X is the complex projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. the one-point compactification of the complex plane. In this case $H_{k\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})$ is the “discrete” weighted logarithmic energy of a configuration of N_k identical charges at $(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})$ of total unit-charge and $J_\phi[\mu]$ is the “continuous” logarithmic energy of the measure μ , where both energies are expressed in terms of the Green function $\ln(|z - w|)$ of the Laplace operator. In this situation the theorem was obtained in [4, 26]. The general case in $\mathbb{P}^1$ is contained in the analysis in [40].

However, it turns out that in higher dimensions the role of the Laplace operator is played by the fully non-linear Monge-Ampere operator, where

the notion of Green function (i.e. a fundamental solution) does not even make sense. Instead, the proof of the theorem is obtained by combining the recent result in [10] on the equidistribution of asymptotic minimizers of $H_{k\phi}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})$ with very recent results [25, 16, 12] about the Monge-Ampere operator acting on a higher dimensional generalization of the classical Dirichlet space. It will appear that, in contrast to the lower bound, the upper bound corresponding to 1.7, which is enough to deduce the corollary above, does not use the existence of solutions to the inhomogenous Monge-Ampere equation, which is the deepest part of the theory.

Acknowledgement 1.3. It is a pleasure to thank Sébastien Boucksom, Vincent Guedj, Ahmed Zeriahi and Steve Zelditch (who provided me with a draft of [40]) for stimulating and helpful discussions. A (partial) large deviation principle with an equivalent rate functional in the case when X is the complex projective space was also announced very recently by Tom Bloom [14] and I would also like to thank him for some related discussions.

1.1. Notation¹. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex manifold X . We will represent an Hermitian metric on L by its *weight* ϕ . In practice, ϕ may be defined as certain collection of *local* functions. Namely, let s^U be a local holomorphic trivializing section of L over an open set U (i.e. $s^U(x) \neq 0$ for x in U). Then locally, $|s^U(z)|_\phi^2 = e^{-\phi^U(z)}$. If α is a holomorphic *section* with values in L , then over U it may be locally written as $\alpha = f^U \cdot s^U$, where f^U is a local holomorphic *function*. In order to simplify the notation we will usually omit the dependence on the set U and s^U and simply say that f is a local holomorphic function representing the section α .

The point-wise norm of α may then be locally expressed as

$$(1.8) \quad |\alpha|_\phi^2 = |f|^2 e^{-\phi},$$

but it should be emphasized that it defines a *global* function on X .

The canonical curvature two-form of L is the global form on X , locally expressed as $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ and the normalized curvature form $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi/2\pi = dd^c\phi$ (where $d^c := i(-\partial + \bar{\partial})/4\pi$) represents the first Chern class $c_1(L)$ of L in the second real de Rham cohomology group of X . The curvature form of a smooth weight is said to be *positive* at the point x if the local Hermitian matrix $(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j})$ is positive definite at the point x (i.e. $dd^c\phi_x > 0$). This means that the curvature is positive when $\phi(z)$ is strictly *plurisubharmonic* (*spsh*) i.e. strictly subharmonic along local complex lines.

More generally, a weight ψ on L is called (possibly) *singular* if $|\psi|$ is locally integrable. Then the curvature is well-defined as a $(1, 1)$ -current on X . The curvature current of a singular metric is called *positive* if ψ

¹general references for this section are the books [23, 19].

may be locally represented by a plurisubharmonic function and ψ will then simply be called a *psh weight*.

Further fixing a probability measure ν on X gives a pair (ν, ϕ) that will be called a *weighted measure*. It induces an inner product on the space $H^0(X, L)$ of holomorphic global sections of L by declaring

$$(1.9) \quad \|\alpha\|_\phi^2 := \int_X |\alpha|_\phi^2 \nu,$$

which will be assumed to be non-degenerate.

The Hermitian line bundle (L, ϕ) over X induces, in a functorial way, Hermitian line bundles over all products of X (and its conjugate $\bar{X}$) and we will usually keep the notation ϕ for the corresponding weights.

When studying asymptotics we will replace L by its k th tensor power, written as kL in additive notation. The induced weight on kL may then be written as $k\phi$. A subindex k will indicate that the object is defined w.r.t the weight. $k\phi$ on kL for ϕ a fixed weight on L .

2. THE MONGE-AMPERE OPERATOR, ENTROPY AND RATE FUNCTIONALS

In this section we will setup the complex pluripotential theory that is needed to define and study the rate functional for the large deviation principle. We will assume that $L \rightarrow X$ be a big holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex manifold X and denote the volume of L by the positive number V . It should be pointed out that in the case when L is *ample* the facts about the Monge-Ampere equation needed can be found in [25].

Given a closed set non-pluripolar set E and a (possibly singular) weight ϕ on L define the psh weight

$$(P\phi)(x) := (\sup \{ \psi(x) : \psi \text{ psh}, \psi \leq \phi \text{ on } E \})^*$$

where the star denotes upper semi-continuous regularization. If ϕ is continuous, then $P_E\phi$ has *minimal singularities*, in the sense that for any psh weight ψ on L there is a constant C_ψ such that $P_E\phi \geq \psi + C_\psi$.

The following approximation lemma will be used repeatedly:

Lemma 2.1. *Let ψ be a psh weight on L and take a sequence ψ_j of continuous weight decreasing to ψ . Then $P_E\psi_j$ decreases to ψ_j . Hence the following convergence of Monge-Ampere measures holds:*

$$MA(P_E\psi_j) \rightarrow MA(\psi)$$

weakly, if $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ (definitions appear below).

Proof. The first part is a simple consequence of the definition of P_E and the second part then follows from Proposition 2.3 (ii) below, combined with the fact that by the domination principle [9, 16] $P_E\psi = \psi$ if $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ and $MA(\psi)$ is supported on E . $\square$

Let Ω be a Zariski open set in X where all weights with minimal singularities are locally bounded. By the work of Bedford-Taylor the products $(dd^c\psi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi')^{n-j}$ are well-defined measures on Ω which put no mass on pluri-polar sets. Hence, the following bifunctional on the space of psh weights with minimal singularities is well-defined:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}[\psi, \psi'] := \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{\Omega} (\psi - \psi') (dd^c\psi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi')^{n-j}$$

In the smooth case this bifunctional first appeared in the work of Aubin and Mabuchi in Kähler-Einstein geometry. Given a fixed reference weighted set (E_0, ϕ_0) we will also write $\mathcal{E}[\psi] := \mathcal{E}[\psi, P_{E_0}\phi_0]$. This functional has the following properties proved in [9]:

Proposition 2.2. *The following holds*

(i) *The differential of the functional $\mathcal{E}[\psi]$ at the point ψ is represented by the measure $MA(\psi)$, i.e. given ψ_0 and ψ_1 in the convex set of all psh weights on L with minimal singularities*

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} (\mathcal{E}[\psi_0 + t(\psi_1 - \psi_0)]) = \int_X (\psi_1 - \psi_0) MA(\psi_0)$$

(ii) *$\mathcal{E}[\psi]$ is increasing*

(iii) *$\mathcal{E}[\psi]$ is concave*

Note that (ii) is a direct consequence of (i), since the differential of $\mathcal{E}$ is represented by a positive measure. Following [16, 12] we extend $\mathcal{E}$ to the space of all psh weights on L , by defining

$$\mathcal{E}[\psi] := \inf_{\psi' \geq \psi} \mathcal{E}[\psi'] \in [-\infty, \infty[$$

where ψ' ranges over all psh weights ψ' with minimal singularities and such that $\psi' \geq \psi$ and define the following class of psh weights:

$$\mathcal{E}^1(X, L) := \{\psi : \mathcal{E}[\psi] > -\infty\}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$ the class of all probability measures μ on X such that all elements in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ are locally integrable w.r.t. μ . Note that since $\mathcal{E}$ is concave these two classes are convex. Moreover, proposition 2.2 is still valid on $\mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ [12] (for (i) and (ii) this is automatic and (iii) follows from a simple density argument once the general (non-pluripolar) Monge-Ampere has been defined; given an arbitrary psh weight ψ

$$MA(\psi) := \langle dd^c\psi \rangle^n / n!$$

where the brackets denote *non-pluripolar products* of positive currents [16]. In this paper it will be enough to know that the following properties hold:

Proposition 2.3. (i) *if ψ has minimal singularities, then $MA(\psi) = 1_{\Omega} MA(\psi) := 1_{\Omega} (dd^c\psi)^n / n!$.*

(ii) If $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ and if (ψ_i) is a sequence of psh weights on L with minimal singularities, such that (ψ_i) decreases to ψ , then $MA(\psi_i)$ converges weakly to $MA(\psi)$.

(iii) We have that $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ iff $\int_X (\psi_0 - \psi) \langle dd^c \psi \rangle^n / n! < \infty$, where ψ_0 is any given psh weight with minimal singularities.

Proof. All proofs may be found in [16]: we only briefly give the main points: (i) follows directly from the definition. (ii) is a special case of a more general convergence result in [16] which holds for any ψ with “full Monge-Ampere measure”, i.e. $\int_X \langle dd^c \psi \rangle^n / n! = \text{Vol}(L)$. The proof of (iii) in [16] is a consequence of the following inequalities:

$$\mathcal{E}[\psi_0, \psi] \leq \int_X (\psi_0 - \psi) \langle dd^c \psi \rangle^n / n! \leq (n+1) \mathcal{E}[\psi_0, \psi],$$

when $(\psi_0 - \psi) \geq 0$, which are straight-forward to prove by partial integration (in the non-smooth case partial integration is still valid [16]). $\square$

The following theorem was first proved in [25] in the case when L is ample (see also the very recently paper [16] for L big). The proofs proceed by careful approximations to reduce the problem to the case when L is ample and μ is a smooth volume form. This latter special case was obtained by Yau in the seminal work [38].

Theorem 2.4. *Let μ be a probability measure on X and assume that $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ such that*

$$MA(\psi_\mu) = \mu$$

Moreover, any such ψ_μ will be called a potential for μ .

Any two potentials as above just differ by an additive constant, but we will not use this fact. As observed very recently [12] a direct proof of theorem, which does not use Yau’s result, can also be given. The proof uses the following functional S which will play a major role in the present paper.

Proposition 2.5. *Let μ be a probability measure on X and define its entropy $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ (relative the weighted set (E_0, ϕ_0)) by*

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu] := \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)} (V \int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[\psi])$$

Then

(i) $\mathcal{S}[\mu] > -\infty$ iff $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$. Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$, then the infimum defining $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ is attained at any potential ψ_μ of μ (furnished by Theorem 2.4), i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu] := V \int_X (\psi_\mu - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[\psi_\mu]$$

(ii) If L is ample the infimum may be taken over the subset of all continuous psh weights ψ . In general, if μ is supported on a closed set E

in X , then

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{S}[\mu] := \inf_{\psi} V \int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[P_E \psi]$$

where ψ ranges over all continuous (but possible not psh) weights ψ on L .

(iv) The functional $\mathcal{S}$ is usc on $\mathcal{P}(E)$ if E is closed.

Proof. [The proof is also given in [12]]. To simplify the notation we assume that $V = 1$. To prove the right implication in (i) (which is really a special case of a general fact about the Legendre transform), assume that $\mathcal{S}[\mu] > -\infty$ and fix $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$. Then clearly

$$\int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \geq \mathcal{S}[\mu] + \mathcal{E}[\psi],$$

which is finite by assumption. Hence, $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$. Conversely, if $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_1(X, L)$, take $\psi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ such that $MA(\psi_\mu) = \mu$. Let ψ be an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ and let $\psi_t := \psi_\mu + t(\psi - \psi_\mu) \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $f(t) = \mathcal{E}[\psi_t]$. By Proposition 2.2 f is concave and hence

$$f(1) \leq f(0) + f'(0)$$

which by formula 2.2 means that

$$\mathcal{E}[\psi_1] \leq \mathcal{E}[\psi_0] + \int_X (\psi_1 - \psi_\mu) \mu$$

which proves that ψ_μ is a minimizer.

To prove (ii) for a big line bundle L first note that for any ψ continuous

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu] \leq \int_X (P_E \psi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[P_E \psi] \leq \int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[P_E \psi]$$

Finally, the equality 2.3 follows from the approximation lemma 2.1 and the continuity under monotonic limits of the operators involved [9, 16].

The item (iii) is a formal consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ can be written as a point-wise inf of continuous functionals, but for completeness we give the proof. Assume for simplicity that L is ample (the general case is very similar). Take a sequence μ_j converging weakly to μ . We are done if we can prove that

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_j \mathcal{S}[\mu_j] \leq \mathcal{S}[\mu].$$

To this end fix a continuous weight ψ for L . Then

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu_j] \leq \int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \mu_j - \mathcal{E}[\psi, \phi_0] \rightarrow \int_X (\psi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}[\psi, \phi_0]$$

when j tends to infinity. Taking the infimum over all such ψ hence proves 2.4. $\square$

Given a continuous weight ϕ on L we will write

$$(2.5) \quad I_{(E,\phi)}[\mu] := (V \int_X (\phi - \phi_0)\mu - \mathcal{S}[\mu]) - \mathcal{E}[P_E\phi]$$

According to Proposition 2.5 this means that either $I_{(E,\phi)}[\mu] := \infty$ or

$$I_{(E,\phi)}[\mu] := V \int_X (\phi - \psi_\mu)\mu - (\mathcal{E}[P_E\phi] - \mathcal{E}[\psi_\mu]),$$

where ψ_μ is a potential for μ . It is this functional which will appear as the *rate functional* for the large deviation principle.

Proposition 2.6. *Let E be a non-pluripolar closed set in X . The functional $I_{(E,\phi)} : \mathcal{P}(E) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a good rate functional, i.e. it is lower semi-continuous and proper. It has a unique minimizer $\mu_{(E,\phi)}$, called the equilibrium measure of (E, ϕ) , where*

$$\mu_{(E,\phi)} = MA(P_E\phi).$$

Proof. First observe that, since ϕ is continuous, the functional $I_{(E,\phi)}$ is lsc iff S is usc, which holds by Proposition 2.5. To prove that $I_{(E,\phi)}$ is proper we must prove that the sublevel sets $\{I_{(E,\phi)} \leq M\}$ are compact for any M . Since $I_{(E,\phi)}$ is lsc these sets are closed in $\mathcal{P}(E)$. But by weak compactness of $\mathcal{P}(E)$ any closed set is compact. The remaining part of the proposition follows essentially from results in [9]. For completeness we briefly recall the arguments here. First observe that since by Proposition 2.5 $\mathcal{E}[\psi]$ is concave w.r.t ψ and its differential is represented by $MA(\psi)$ we have $I_{(E,\phi)}[\mu] \geq 0$. Finally, we have to prove that

$$\inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X,L)} (\mathcal{E}[\psi] + V \int_X (\phi - \psi)\mu)$$

is attained at $\psi = P_E\phi$ and equals $\mathcal{E}[P_E\phi]$. For ψ ranging over psh weights on L with minimal singularities this was proven in [9] and a simple density argument proves the general case. $\square$

Remark 2.7. Note that according to (ii) in Proposition 2.5 the functional $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ may be defined as the Legendre transform of the concave functional $\mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi] = \mathcal{E}[P_E\phi]$ defined on the space of all continuous weights, using the pairing

$$\langle \psi, \mu \rangle := V \int_X (\psi - \phi_0)\mu$$

Since, $\mathcal{F}_\infty[\phi]$ is the *free energy*, in the sense that it is the limit of the scaled k th free energies of the corresponding Boltzmann-Gibbs ensemble (formula 1.3) this means that $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ really is the entropy in the sense of thermodynamics.

2.1. The entropy $\mathcal{S}$ in terms of quasi psh functions and Green functions. In this section we assume for simplicity that $V = 1$. By way of comparison with [24, 40] it may also be illuminating to express the entropy functional in terms of quasi psh functions, whose notation we quickly recall. Fix a weight ϕ_0 on X and write $\omega_0 := dd^c\phi_0$. Then any psh weight ψ on L may be identified with a function u

$$u := \psi - \phi_0,$$

such that $\omega_u := dd^cu + \omega_0 \geq 0$. The space of all such (usc) u is usually denoted by $\text{Psh}(X, \omega_0)$ and its elements are called ω_0 -psh functions on X .

Now the entropy $\mathcal{S}[\mu]$ of a measure μ may, in case it is finite, be expressed as

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{S}[\mu] := -\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j) \int du \wedge d^cu \wedge \omega_u^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j-1} + C_{\phi_0}$$

for $u = u_\mu$, i.e. for any potential u of μ ;

$$\omega_u^n / n! = \mu$$

and where $C_{\phi_0} = \mathcal{E}[P_{E_0}\phi_0, \phi_0]$ is a constant (which vanishes if ϕ_0 is psh and $E_0 = X$). The proof of formula 2.6 follows from straight-forward computations in the case when u is smooth (compare page 59 in [37]) and uses the partial integration formula in [16] in the general case (in order to replace $-udd^cu$ with $du \wedge d^cu$). In particular, when X is a Riemann surface, i.e. $n = 1$, the formula reads

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu] - C_{\phi_0} = -\frac{1}{2} \int du \wedge d^cu = \frac{1}{2} \int u dd^cu$$

which may also be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}[\mu] - C_{\phi_0} = \frac{1}{2} \int \int g(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$$

in terms of a Green function of the Laplace operator [40]. Indeed, the potential u_μ , normalized so that $\int_X u_\mu \omega_0 = 0$, may be realized as

$$u_\mu := \int g_0(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

where the *Green function* $g_0(x, y)$ is uniquely determined by requiring that $g_0(x, y) = g_0(y, x)$ and

$$d_x d_x^c g_0 + \omega_0 = \delta_x, \quad \int g_0(x, y) \omega_0(y) = 0$$

where δ_x is the Dirac measure supported on the point x .

In particular, when X is the complex projective line the Green functions may be expressed in the following way in the affine piece $\mathbb{C}$:

$$g_0(z, w) = \ln(|z - w|^2) - \phi_0(z) - \phi_0(w) + C'_{\phi_0},$$

where C''_{ϕ_0} is a constant depending on ϕ_0 . Hence the “total energy” in formula 1.6 may be written as

$$(2.7) \quad -\mathcal{S}[\mu] + \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) d\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \ln(|z - w|^2) d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \phi d\mu + C''_{\phi_0},$$

if the support of μ is compact, since the integrals involving ϕ_0 cancel. Finally, note that the constant C''_{ϕ_0} vanishes if the reference weight $\phi_0(z)$ is taken to be $\ln^+(|z|^2)$. Indeed, applying the identity 2.7 to $\mu = dd^c \ln^+(|z|^2)$ and the weight $\phi(z) \equiv 0$ (on the support of μ) gives, since all terms except $C''_{\ln^+(|z|^2)}$ vanish, that $C''_{\ln^+(|z|^2)}$ has to vanish as well. Hence, the expression 2.7 then coincides precisely with the “weighted logarithmic energy” of μ in [32].

2.2. Bernstein-Markov measures. Finally, we will following [9] say that a measure ν has the *Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t the weighted set (E, ϕ)* if, given any positive number ϵ , there exist C_ϵ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{x \in E} |s_k|_{k\phi}^2(x) \leq C_\epsilon e^{k\epsilon} \int |s_k|_{k\phi}^2 \nu$$

for any element s_k of $H^0(X, kL)$. Moreover, we will say that ν has the *strong Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t the set E* if 2.8 holds for *any* continuous weight ϕ on L .

3. LARGE DEVIATIONS

Let us first recall the general definition of a large deviation principle.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a Polish space, i.e. a complete separable metric space.

(i) A function $I : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a *rate function* iff it is lower semi-continuous. It is a *good rate function* if it is also proper.

(ii) A sequence Γ_k of probability measures on $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies a *large deviation principle* with *speed* a_k and *rate function* I iff

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_k} \log \Gamma_k(\mathcal{F}) \leq - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mu)$$

for any closed subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_k} \log \Gamma_k(\mathcal{G}) \geq - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{G}} I(\mu)$$

for any open subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{P}$.

Let now $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(E)$ be the space of all probability measures on X which is a Polish space, where the topology corresponds to the weak convergence of measures.

Given a set $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{P}(E)$ we will write

$$E^N \cap \mathcal{F} := (j_N)^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$$

where j_N denotes the natural inclusion 1.4 of E^N into $\mathcal{P}(E)$.

Given a weighted measure (ν, ψ) recall that $\gamma_{k\psi}$ denotes the corresponding probability measure on E^{N_k} defined by formula 1.2. We also fix a reference weighted measure (ν_0, ϕ_0) and a base S_k in $H^0(X, kL)$ which is orthonormal w.r.t the corresponding inner product on $H^0(X, kL)$. The proof of (i) and (ii) in the following theorem was given in [9] and (iii) was shown in [8]. In the following we will use the same notation $\gamma_{k\psi}$ for the density on E^{N_k} of $\gamma_{k\psi}$ w.r.t. the measure ν^N ; the precise meaning will hopefully be clear from the context.

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a non-pluripolar, ψ a continuous weight on L and ν a probability measure on X which has the Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t. (E, ψ) . Then the following holds*

(i) *Let $(\mathbf{x}_k)$ be a sequence of configurations in E , i.e. $\mathbf{x}_k \in E^{N_k}$ such that*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \gamma_{k\psi}(\mathbf{x}_k) \geq 0$$

Then $\mu_k := j_{N_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ converges weakly to the equilibrium measure $MA(P_E\psi)$.

(ii) *Given a reference weighted Bernstein-Markov measure (ν_0, ϕ_0) the following convergence holds:*

$$(3.1) \quad k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^\infty(k\phi, E^{N_k})}^2 \rightarrow -\mathcal{E}(P_E\psi)$$

and if the measure ν has the Bernstein-Markov measure w.r.t. (E, ϕ) , then

$$(3.2) \quad k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k})}^2 \rightarrow -\mathcal{E}(P_E\psi)$$

(iii) *Let $u(x)$ be a continuous function on X and denote the corresponding linear statistic on E^{N_k} by $u(\mathbf{x}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} u(x_i)$. Then its expectation value is given by*

$$k^{-n} \int_{E^{N_k}} \gamma_{k\psi} u =: k^{-n} \left(\int_{E^{N_k}} \frac{|\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2}{\mathcal{Z}[k\psi]} u d\nu^{N_k} \right) \rightarrow \int_X u MA(P_E\psi)$$

We will also need a localized version of the third item of the previous theorem. To this end, define the following set:

$$(3.3) \quad A_{k\psi} := \{\mathbf{x}_k \in E^{N_k} : k^{-(n+1)} \log \gamma_{k\psi}(\mathbf{x}_k) \geq -1/k\}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let u be a continuous function on X . Then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \left(\int_{A_{k\psi}} |\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2(\mathbf{x}) e^{ku(\mathbf{x})} d\nu^{N_k} \right) \geq -\mathcal{E}(P_E\psi) + \int_X u MA(P_E\psi)$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function e^t

$$\left(\int_{A_{k\psi}} |\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2(\mathbf{x}) e^{ku(\mathbf{x})} d\nu^{N_k} \right) \geq \mathcal{Z}[k\psi]' \exp \left(\int_{A_k} \frac{|\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2}{\mathcal{Z}[k\psi]'} k u d\nu^{N_k} \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}[k\psi]' := \left(\int_{A_k} |\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2 d\nu^{N_k} \right)$$

Hence, the sequence in the r.h.s in the statement of the lemma is bounded from below by

$$k^{-(n+1)} \log \mathcal{Z}[k\psi] + k^{-n} \left(\int_{A_{k\psi}} \frac{|\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2}{\mathcal{Z}[k\psi]} u d\nu^{N_k} \right) (\mathcal{Z}[k\psi] / \mathcal{Z}[k\psi]') \\ + k^{-(n+1)} \log \mathcal{Z}[k\psi]' / \mathcal{Z}[k\psi]$$

But by the “exponential” decay of $\gamma_{k\psi}$ on the complement of $A_{k\psi}$:

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{Z}[k\psi]' / \mathcal{Z}[k\psi] \rightarrow 1$$

Indeed,

$$\mathcal{Z}[k\psi]' / \mathcal{Z}[k\psi] = \int_{A_{k\psi}} \gamma_{k\psi}(\mathbf{x}_k) d\nu^{N_k} = 1 - \int_{E^{N_k} - A_{k\psi}} \gamma_{k\psi}(\mathbf{x}_k) d\nu^{N_k}$$

and on $E^{N_k} - A_{k\psi}$ we have, by definition, $\gamma_{k\psi}(\mathbf{x}_k) < e^{-k^n}$ proving the convergence 3.4. Finally, using (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.2 combined with the exponentiell decay of $\gamma_{k\psi}$ on the complement of $A_{k\psi}$ finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. To simplify the notation we assume that $V = 1$. First we will prove the upper bound of the theorem (without the normalization factor). It does not use the Bernstein-Markov property of the measure ν :

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that ν is a probability measure supported on the closed set E in X and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a closed set in $\mathcal{P}(E)$. Then*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, X^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{F})}^2) \leq - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{S}[\mu] \right)$$

Proof. Since ν is a probability measure

$$(3.5) \quad (kN_k)^{-1} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{F})}^2) \leq (kN_k)^{-1} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^\infty(k\phi, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{F})}^2) .$$

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k}) \in E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{F}$ be a configuration realizing the sup in the r.h.s. above and fix a continuous psh weight ψ on L . Then the r.h.s above may be written as $(kN_k)^{-1} \log(|\det S_k|_{k\phi}^2(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})) =$

$$(3.6) \quad = (kN_k)^{-1} \log(|\det S_k|_{k\psi}^2(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})) + \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \delta_{x_i}(\psi - \phi) \leq \\ \leq (kN_k)^{-1} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^\infty(k\psi, E^{N_k})}^2) + \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \delta_{x_i}(\psi - \phi)$$

After passing to a subsequence we may assume, by weak compactness, that

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \delta_{x_i} \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{F}$$

weakly, since $\mathcal{F}$ is closed. In particular, since $\psi - \phi$ is a continuous function on X it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad (kN_k)^{-1} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^\infty(k\phi, E^{N_k})}^2) + \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \delta_{x_i}(\psi - \phi) \rightarrow -\mathcal{E}[P_E \psi] + \int_X (\psi - \phi) \mu,$$

using 3.1. Since this holds for any such ψ combining 3.6 and 3.7 gives, also using 2.3 in Proposition 2.5,

$$(3.8) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (kN_k)^{-1} \log(|\det S_k|_{k\phi}^2(x_1, \dots, x_{N_k})) \leq \mathcal{S}[\mu] - \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu$$

for the chosen subsequence of configurations. Hence, by 3.5

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (kN_k)^{-1} \log(\|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{F})}^2) \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathcal{S}[\mu] - \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu)$$

which finishes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Finally, we will prove the lower bound in the theorem:

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that the measure ν has the strong Bernstein-Markov property w.r.t. the set E in X . Then for any open set $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{P}(E)$*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{G})}^2 \geq - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{S}[\mu] \right)$$

Proof. For a given $\mu \in \mathcal{G}$ we have to prove

$$(3.9) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{G})}^2 \geq \mathcal{S}[\mu] - V \int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu$$

We may assume that $-I_\phi[\mu] > -\infty$ (otherwise the statement is trivially true). But then Theorem 2.4 gives that there exists $\psi_\mu \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ such that $MA(\psi_\mu) = \mu$. Take a sequence ψ_j of continuous weights on L as in lemma 2.1, so that

$$\mu_j := MA(P_E \psi_j) \rightarrow MA(\psi_\mu) = \mu$$

in $\mathcal{P}(E)$. In particular, since $\mathcal{G}$ is assumed open in $\mathcal{P}(E)$,

$$(3.10) \quad \mu_j \subset \mathcal{G}$$

for $j \gg 1$. Next, fix a large index j and consider the set $A_{k\psi_j}$, defined as in formula 3.3. Then for $k \gg 1$

$$(3.11) \quad A_{k\psi_j} \subset (jN_k)^{-1} \mathcal{G}$$

Indeed, assume for a contradiction that the previous statement is false. Then there is a sequence $(\mathbf{x}_{k_i})$ of configurations $\mathbf{x}_{k_i} \in E^{N_k} - (jN_k)^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$\liminf_{k_i} k_i^{-(n+1)} \log(\gamma_{k_i \psi_j}(\mathbf{x}_{k_i})) \geq 0$$

But then Theorem 3.2 gives that

$$\mu_{\mathbf{x}_{k_i}} \rightarrow MA(P_E \psi_j) := \mu_j$$

in $\mathcal{P}(E)$, forcing $\mu_j \in \mathcal{P}(E) - \mathcal{G}$, which contradicts 3.10.

Now by 3.11

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{G})}^2 &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap A_{k\psi_j})}^2 \geq \\ &\geq -\mathcal{E}(P_E \psi_j) + \int (\psi_j - \phi) MA(P_E \psi_j) \end{aligned}$$

using lemma 3.3 applied to $u = \psi_j - \phi$ in the last step. Letting j tend to infinity and using the continuity under monotonic limits of the operators involved [9, 16] hence gives

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \log \|\det S_k\|_{L^2(k\phi, \nu, E^{N_k} \cap \mathcal{G})}^2 \geq \int (\psi_\mu - \phi_0) \mu - \mathcal{E}(\psi_\mu) + \int (\phi_0 - \phi) \mu$$

But since ψ_μ is in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, L)$ it is a candidate for the infimum defining $S[\mu]$. Hence, the inequality 3.9 holds. $\square$

Finally, note that to obtain the rate functional $I_{(E, \phi)}$ for the sequence of probability measures one has to normalize by dividing by the partition function $\mathcal{Z}[k\phi]$ which, by formula 3.2, gives the rate functional

$$\left(\int_X (\phi - \phi_0) \mu - s[\mu] \right) - \mathcal{E}(P_E \phi)$$

which coincides with the definition in formula 2.5 of $I_{(E, \phi)}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ameur, Y; Hedenmalm, H; Makarov, N: Fluctuations of eigenvalues of random normal matrices. arXiv:0807.0375
- [2] Bedford, E; Taylor, A: The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampere equation. Invent. Math 37 (1976), no 1, 1-44
- [3] Ben Arous, G; Guinnet, A: Large deviations for Wigner's law and Voiculescu's non-commutative entropy. Probab. Theory Related Fields 108 (1997), no 4. 517-542
- [4] Ben Arous, G.; Zeitouni, O. Large deviations from the circular law. ESAIM Probab. Statist. 2 (1998), 123-134
- [5] Berline, N; Getzler, E; Vergne, M: Heat kernels and Dirac operators. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [6] Berman, R: Bergman kernels and weighted equilibrium measures of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Preprint in 2007 at arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0702357. To appear in Indiana Univ. Journal of Math.
- [7] Berman, R.: Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for line bundles over projective manifolds. Preprint in 2007 at arXiv:0710.4375. To appear in the American Journal of Mathematics.
- [8] Berman, R: Determinantal point processes and fermions on complex manifolds: bulk universality. Preprint in 2008 at ArXiv...
- [9] Berman, R; Boucksom, S; Capacities and weighted volumes of line bundles. Preprint in 2008 at arXiv: 0803.1950.
- [10] Berman, R; Boucksom, S: Convergence of Fekete points on complex manifolds. Preprint in 2008 at ArXiv...
- [11] Berman, R; Boucksom, S; Witt Nyström, D: Equidistribution on complex manifolds. Preprint in 2008

- [12] Berman, R; Boucksom, S; Guedj, V; Zeriahi: A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampere equations. Preprint.
- [13] Berndtsson, Bo: Positivity of direct image bundles and convexity on the space of Kähler metrics. Preprint in 2006 at arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0608385. To appear in Journal of Differential Geometry.
- [14] Bloom, T: "Large Deviations for VanDerMonde determinants". Talk given at the Workshop on Complex Hyperbolic Geometry and Related Topics (November 17-21, 2008) at the Fields institute. <http://www.fields.utoronto.ca/audio/08-09/hyperbolic/bloom/>
- [15] Bloom, T; Levenberg, N: Transfinite diameter notions in C^N and integrals of Vandermonde determinants. arXiv:0712.2844
- [16] Boucksom, S; Essidieux, P; Guedj, V; Zeriahi: Monge-Ampere equations in big cohomology classes. Preprint.
- [17] Alvarez-Gaumé, L; Bost, J-B; Moore, G; Nelson, P; Vafa, C: Bosonization on higher genus Riemann surfaces. Comm. Math. Phys. 112 (1987), no. 3,
- [18] Deift, P. A. Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 3. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [19] Demailly, J-P: Complex analytic and algebraic geometry. Available at www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/books.html
- [20] Demailly, J-P: Potential Theory in Several Complex Variables. Manuscript available at www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/
- [21] Forrester, P. J. Particles in a magnetic field and plasma analogies: doubly periodic boundary conditions. J. Phys. A 39 (2006), no. 41, 13025–13036.
- [22] Ginibre, J: Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. J. Mathematical Phys. 6 (1965), 440–449;
- [23] Griffiths, P; Harris, J: Principles of algebraic geometry. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [24] Guedj, V; Zeriahi, A: Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds. J. Geom. Anal. 15 (2005), no. 4, 607–639.
- [25] Guedj, V; Zeriahi, A: The weighted Monge-Ampère energy of quasiplurisubharmonic functions. arXiv:math/0612630. To appear in Journal of Functional Analysis.
- [26] Guionnet, A: Large deviations and stochastic calculus for large random matrices. Probab. Surv. 1 (2004), 72–172 (electronic).
- [27] Hough, J. B.; Krishnapur, M.; Peres, Y.; Virág, B: Determinantal processes and independence. Probab. Surv. 3 (2006), 206–229
- [28] Johansson, K: On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. Duke Math. J. 91 (1998), no. 1, 151–204.
- [29] Johansson, K: Random matrices and determinantal processes. arXiv:math-ph/0510038
- [30] Klimek, M: Pluripotential theory. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 6. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991
- [31] Macchi, O: The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes. Advances in Appl. Probability 7 (1975), 83–122.
- [32] Saff, E; Totik, V: Logarithmic potentials with exterior fields. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. (1997) (with an appendix by Bloom, T)
- [33] Scardicchio, A; Torquato, S; Zachary, C.E: Point processes in arbitrary dimension from fermionic gases, random matrix theory, and number theory. arXiv:0809.0449

- [34] Scardicchio, A; Torquato, S; Zachary, C.E: Statistical properties of determinantal point processes in high-dimensional Euclidean spaces. arXiv:0810.4977
- [35] Sheffield, Scott Gaussian free fields for mathematicians. Probab. Theory Related Fields 139 (2007), no. 3-4, 521–541.
- [36] Soshnikov, A. Determinantal random point fields. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55 (2000), no. 5(335), 107–160; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 55 (2000), no. 5, 923–975
- [37] Tian, G: Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry. Notes taken by Meike Akveld. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [38] Yau, S.T: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), no. 3, 339–411.
- [39] Zabrodin, A; Matrix models and growth processes: from viscous flows to the quantum Hall effect. Preprint in 2004 at arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0411437
- [40] Zeitouni O; Zelditch, S: “Large deviations of empirical zero points measures on Riemann surfaces, I: $g = 0$. Preprint.

E-mail address: robertb@math.chalmers.se